

The Noah Train
March 26, 2014 - OFBS



Jewish¹-Atheist² Director Darren Aronofsky's Noah movie hits the theaters on March 28 and it's going to be biblically false according to the director himself.

"**Noah is the least biblical biblical film ever made,**" Aronofsky is quoted as saying. "I don't give a f*** about the test scores! My films are outside the scores. Ten men in a room trying to come up with their favourite ice cream are going to agree on vanilla. I'm the rocky road guy."

<http://www.theguardian.com/film/2014/mar/11/noah-religious-montage-christian-darren-aronofsky-paramount>



"I'm more concerned about getting non-believers into the theater or people who are less religious."

<http://variety.com/2014/scene/news/noah-director-darren-aronofsky-there-isnt-really-a-controversy-1201127481/>

Noah's flood is found in Genesis chapters 6, 7 and 8. In Gen 6:17 the waters came upon the earth. The question for this study is what portion(s) of the earth was covered with water? Was all the earth and all the flesh destroyed or some of the earth and some of the flesh destroyed?

Genesis 6:17} And, behold, I, even I, do bring a flood of waters upon **(the earth, 776)** to destroy all flesh, wherein [*is*] the breath of life, from under heaven; [*and*] every thing that [*is*] **(in the earth 776)** shall die.


1600 years prior to Noah's flood the word earth/land was given 3 different geographical definitions. The English word Earth or land is Strong's number 776 erets which in context of passages Gen1:1, 1:10 & 4:16 all mean different areas (defined below).

Strong's Ref #776 – erets

From an unused root probably Meaning to be firm; the earth (at large, or, partitively, a land)

KJV – X common, country, earth, Field, ground, land, X nations, Way, + wilderness, world.

RSV Genesis 1:1 In the beginning God created the heavens and the **earth (#776)**.



Entire Globe

RSV Genesis 1:10 God called the **dry land Earth (#776)**, and the waters that were gathered together he called Seas. And God saw that it was good.




DRY – 29% of Globe

RSV Genesis 4:16 Then Cain went away from the presence of the Lord, and dwelt in the **land (#776)** of Nod, east of Eden.



SMALL % OF 29% of Entire Globe



Which definition of #776 erets is used in Gen6:17?

Genesis 6:17} And, behold, I, even I, do bring a flood of waters upon **(the earth, 776)** to destroy all flesh, wherein [is] the breath of life, from under heaven; [and] every thing that [is] **(in the earth 776)** shall die.

The definition can't be known just reading Gen6:17 if one wants to be biblically accurate with themselves. Additional information is required.

That additional information may be looking at:

- 1) The total number of animals, insects, plant, food, seedlings.... Going into the ark.
- 2) Determining where the water came from to raise the sea level up five and a half miles.
- 3) Determining where the five and a half miles of waters receded to.
- 4) Every action there is a reaction. Every belief has other beliefs attached to it.

A final destination is a result of which belief train a person decides to board. That person may have no knowledge of where that final train depot is located but by stepping aboard they must agree with all the beliefs that are coupled with that train. What I see in the debates on the internet, books and such is stating the belief and then arguing the science of that belief without acknowledging the baggage each belief carries behind it.



There are two belief stations in the Noah's flood account. The world wide flood or the local flood.



Occam's razor of problem solving states that one should select the belief with the least amount of assumptions.


Occam's razor (also written as **Ockham's razor** from [William of Ockham](#) (c. 1287 – 1347), and in [Latin](#) *lex parsimoniae*) is a principle of parsimony, economy, or [succinctness](#) used in problem-solving. It states that among competing hypotheses, **the one with the fewest assumptions should be selected**. Other, more complicated solutions may ultimately prove correct, but—in the absence of certainty—the fewer assumptions that are made, the better.

The application of the principle often shifts the [burden of proof](#) in a discussion.^[al] The [razor](#) states that **one should proceed to simpler theories until simplicity can be traded for greater explanatory power**.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Occam's_razor

The World wide flood train of beliefs has.... Consequences of that belief. The world wide flood train will always have coupled to it several other railcars beliefs. What are those coupled beliefs?





World-wide flood: Noah and wife and their 3 sons with their wives are the only ones left on earth to repopulate the earth.

Repopulation: How is the earth going to be repopulated to the 7 billion-ish that we have right now? This is the same problem as Adam and Eve’s had in replenishing the earth with just their children. How did Adam and Eve populate the earth? The only way would be if Adam and Eve’s sons and daughters had sex with each other: parents with children and brother with sister. The earth would have had to be repopulated by Incest according to the world-wide flood perspective.

Consequences of Incest: If the earth was filled by Incest then that would lead to 2 different thoughts:
 1) God approved the incest repopulation method or
 2) The incest law of Mt Sinai was not in effect at the time.

No matter if incest was approved or ignored, the continual inbreeding causes genetic deformities which then leads to extinction. The assumption is that the genetics were purer back then so incest wouldn’t have caused any problems.

The house of Habsburg interbred amongst themselves thousands of years after Adam/Eve & Noah. No other races resulted in this multiple centuries of interbreeding – just sterility and genetic deformities. The conventional idea that all races came from one family interbreeding amongst themselves is not proven historically.

In order for other races to come from 2 pure genetic man and woman then that would suggest that the DNA of these 2 persons were not stable (a flaw in the design) and that over time the DNA finally settled down so no new races were created. Believing in incest and evolution at the same time is doublemindedness – both can’t coexist together.

Doublemindedness: 2 opposites in World wide flood	
Quick Evolution: Dramatic shifts in DNA rapidly after Noah’s flood then stabilizing later (no new races). DNA unstable	Incest: No other persons on earth. Sex between parent and child & brother and sister allowed no deformities. DNA stable

The **House of Habsburg** ([/'hæbs.bɜrg/](#); German pronunciation: [ˈha:ps.bʊʁk]), also spelled **Hapsburg**,^[1] was one of the most important [royal houses](#) of [Europe](#). The throne of the [Holy Roman Empire](#) was continuously occupied by the Habsburgs between 1438 and 1740. The house also produced kings of [Bohemia](#), [England](#), [Germany](#), [Hungary](#), [Croatia](#), [Ireland](#), [Portugal](#), and [Spain](#), as well as rulers of [several Dutch](#) and [Italian](#) countries.



Extinction of the Spanish Habsburgs

The Habsburgs sought to consolidate their power by the frequent use of [consanguineous marriages](#), with a cumulatively deleterious effect on their [gene pool](#). [Marriages between first cousins](#), or between uncle and niece, were commonplace in the family. A study of 3,000 family members over 16 generations by the [University of Santiago de Compostela](#) suggests that **inbreeding directly led to their extinction**. The gene pool eventually became so small that the last of the Spanish line [Charles II](#), who was severely disabled from birth, perhaps by [genetic disorders](#), possessed a [genome](#) comparable to that of a child born to a brother and sister, as did his father, likely due to "remote [inbreeding](#)".¹⁹

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/House_of_Habsburg

Result in Incest: For Incest to occur then the law of God as given to Moses at Mount Sinai would not be in effect at that time. This would allow God to approve the use of incest to populate the earth from Adam to Mt Sinai. About 2,500 years of time where incest was approved and then disapproved at Mount Sinai.

Races on Earth: As seen in the Habsburg monarchy, interbreeding doesn't produce different races so Evolution must have occurred. Creationist have long argued against Darwinist that the evidence for evolution doesn't exist. All a Darwinist would have to do is point out Adam and Eve and Noah's family and say "look here, 1 race became all the races within a few thousand years". Belief in evolution is a direct result of believing in a worldwide flood.

Result of No Law: If incest is allowed and it is not a sin to practice it then no Mt Sinai law is in existence. That is fine with many Christians because most look at their bible and say all the laws & commandments were only given starting in Exodus 20. This is a very big problem. If there is no Law in existence then the population of the world were judged and killed by no law.

If a policeman arrest you and brings you in front of a judge and the judge bangs the gavel and states you are to be executed. You respond "Hey I haven't broken any laws!" The Judge tells the Baliff to take you to the executioners.



What type of judge would you think that person was? An unjust, unrighteous judge. How can you see God in any other way **IF *no law was present prior to Mt Sinai***? 1Jn3:4 states that sin is the transgression of the law. And Rm 4:15 states that where there is no law then there is no sin.

If there is no Law in existence then there is no Sin then what is the justification for the extinction of the population of the earth except for Noah's family? No one broke any laws because none were in existence,

1Jn 3:4 Whosoever committeth sin transgresseth also the law: for **sin is the transgression of the law.**

SIM Romans 4:15 because the law brings punishment . **Where no law exists, there can be no sin.**

Logical chain of events connected to a single belief. Unlike the world wide belief which believes in an unjust God; the bible states that God is a just, righteous, merciful, long suffering God. The same yesterday, today and forever – Heb13:8

SIM Hebrews 13:8 Jesus Christ is the same **yesterday, today, and forever.**

The world-wide flood is hard to believe (for me) when you have to believe in allowed incest, evolution, lawlessness, unjust God. What is the other alternative?



The other view point is the local flood. This is viewpoint goes along with the old earth belief. God created all the races and called it good. The races were around before Adam and survived thru the local flood. Adam's children and Noah's children married those pre-Adamites of the same race preventing any incest or evolution from happening. The preAdamites weren't sinners since God gave the law to Adam who was to tell it to the world similar to the reason why God placed the Israelites into the promise land – Ez5:5-6. This is also our mission today to spread the gospel around the world – Mk16:15-16

CT Septuagint Ezekiel 5:5-6

Thus saith the Lord: This is Jerusalem. I placed her in the midst of nations and the countries around her, that thou shouldst **tell My rules of rectitude to such of the nations as are without law; and that Mine ordinances might be the ordinances of the countries around her** ...

RSV Mark 16:15-16

15 And he said to them, **"Go into all the world and preach the gospel to the whole creation.**

16 He who believes and is baptized will be saved; but he who does not believe will be condemned.

If one can't boldly proclaim "God approves incest" and "Evolution is valid" then that is demonstrating that there's a law written in one's heart that is opposed to that. If one is opposed to that then why hold on to that belief? Traditions of man are hard to break.

Regards,
David O'Malley

Called/Chosen + Hear + Believe + Repent + Confess + Baptize + Abide = Salvation -> Proclaim
Mt22:14 + Lk11:28 + Jn3:16 + Mk6:12 +Rm10:9 +Acts2:38 + Heb10:26 = 1 Pt 3:21 -> Mt28:19-20

2014 Completed Bible studies

- 1/1/14 – The 3 themes of Christmas
- 1/18/14 -The bible vs. the Churches (G)
- 1/26/14 - Where are all the miracles? (G)
- 2/6/14 - Second round Prophet
- 2/14/14 – In the Beginning...
- 3/8/14 – Archeology of Genesis (G)
- 3/25/14 – The Noah train

1 **Jewish Directors:** Darren Aronofsky (1969–) film director, screenwriter and producer[40] <http://www.jewishbiography.com/biographies/list-of-jews/jewish-film-directors/index.html>

2 *Noah's* director Darren Aronofsky, **a self-described atheist** who made the Oscar-nominated hit *The Black Swan*, has described the movie as is "the least biblical biblical film ever made" and called Noah "the first environmentalist". According to one early review, the name "God" is not actually spoken at any stage.
<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/culture/film/film-news/10717724/New-Noah-epic-awash-in-flood-of-criticism-for-green-agenda-and-taking-liberties-with-Bible.html>